

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,048	09/518,048 03/02/2000		Robert Barritz	P/1318-73	5346
2352	7590	12/17/2003		EXAMINER	
		SER GERB & SOF	HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E		
NEW YO	NUE OF THE AMERICAS LK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2134	
				DATE MAILED: 12/17/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·							
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
• •	09/518,048	BARRITZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew Heneghan	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dall will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 f	<u>March 2000</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 11, 29-33, 42-45, 50, 51, and 53-55 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureats * See the attached detailed Office action for a listable. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language process. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language process.	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application or the deciments have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into of the certified copies not received in the certified copies not received in the certified copies in the ce	ved in this National Stage ved. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claim 28 teaches to the criteria by which the third software facility correlates software products. With respect to these criteria, five distinct species are enumerated in the dependent claims:

- A. Claim 29, teaching to the correlation of software usage over a time period.
- B. Claim 30, teaching to the correlation with contract expiration.
- C. Claim 31, teaching to the correlation of existing contracts and installed client platforms.
 - D. Claim 32, teaching to the correlation of the locations of software products.
 - E. Claim 33, teaching to the correlating of software products by product speed.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claim 1 teaches to the linking data. With respect to the frequency of updating the linking data, three distinct species are enumerated in the dependent claims:

A. Claims 11, 50, and 53, teaching to the dynamic continuous updating of linking data.

Art Unit: 2134

- B. Claims 11, 51, and 55, teaching to the dynamic updating of the linking data in reaction to changes in the software product database.
- C. Claims 49 and 54, teaching to the dynamic updating of the linking data on a periodic basis.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claim 42 teaches to a data acquisition system. With respect to the software acquisition tools by which data is acquired, three distinct species are enumerated in the dependent claims:

- A. Claim 43, teaching to the use of an importing tool for acquiring software product data.
- B. Claim 44, teaching to the use of a shared database for acquiring software product data.
 - C. Claim 45, teaching manual user entry for acquiring software product data.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-10, 12-28, 34-41, 46-49, 52, 56, and 57 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Art Unit: 2134

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to the office of Ostrolenk Faber Gerb & Soffen on 24 November 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2134

Page 5

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Heneghan, whose telephone number is (703) 305-7727. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM - 4:00 PM Eastern Time. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached on (703) 308-4789.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 2, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Art Unit: 2134

MEH

December 3, 2003

Page 6